

---

I object to the plan

Policy SP PL 10, pages 80-87, and Figure 3.11 now known as Vicarage Farm because it is on Green Belt Land also the Chase. It is a very high number of homes and the local main roads are already heavily congested into Enfield and north towards Potters Bar from Southgate. You state “3,000 new houses” but Comer Homes who I understand issued a brochure stating “5,000 homes.” The local Hospitals, General Practitioners, Schools etc are already at full stretch and could not cope with the extra numbers of this plan.

I object to the plan

Policy SP PL9, pages 77-80 and Concept Plan Figure 3.10. what you call a “sustainable settlement” at Crews Hill with 3,000 new houses and the “the potential for longer term expansion.” This is all Green Belt land, the garden centres being conversions of the Greenhouses used for commercial growing of vegetables. The local Hospitals, General Practitioners, Schools etc are already at full stretch and could not cope with the extra numbers of this plan.

I object to the plan

Policy SA45, Page 364. 160 Homes on land between Camlet Way and Crescent Way, Hadley Wood, because it is in Green Belt countryside.

I object to the plan

Policy SA52 page 372 Industrial and office development near Rammey Marsh because it is in Green Belt land.

I object to the plan

Policy SA54, page 374. New industrial and storage use on current agricultural land. It is Green Belt.

I object to the plan

Policy SA62, page 383 & SP CL4 pages 277 – 279. Expansion of the Spurs football training ground to the north of Whitewebbs Lane up to the M25 and including part of Whitewebbs park and Whitewebbs golf course. The golf course was municipal for use by the people of Enfield, not requiring a membership fee. This will reduce the “right to roam” over a large part of the Whitewebbs park. I understand the sports use is for ladies football – why is it that ladies are not permitted to use the current facilities? I object to this application as it is for Green Belt land.

I object to the plan

Policy DE6 (pages 156 - 160) and SA2 Palace Gardens Shopping Centre page 321. Encouragement for tall building, including in sensitive locations such as the Town Centre Conservation Area. The Palace Gardens shopping centre currently sits within the original Enfield market town but does not overpower it. The proposal of a 13 storey housing block in the centre of it will totally change the nature of the historic centre and surroundings. The extra homes will put pressure on the already overstretched hospitals, General Practitioners, schools etc. There is no mention of provision of parking spaces for these additional homes. The pressure on the local roads already exceeds their capacity.

